



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.						
10/718,013	11/21/2003	Vincent Craig Olsen		8745						
7590 Vincent Craig Olsen 1618 Lenz Lane Boise, ID 83712	08/27/2007		EXAMINER CRANE, DANIEL C							
		<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td><td>3725</td></tr></table>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3725			
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3725								
		<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td><td>08/27/2007</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	08/27/2007			PAPER
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	08/27/2007								
		PAPER								

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,013	OLSEN, VINCENT CRAIG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel C. Crane	3725

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daniel C. Crane. (3) _____

(2) Vincent Craig Olsen. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fuchs ((3,004,584) & Leese (3,831,419)).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Fuchs does not show the claimed features in that Fuchs (1) applies compressive forces to the workpiece 10 by virtue of the gripping arrangement and (2) does not show a pure bending moment. Applicant also argued that Leese is directed to an edge bending whereas applicants is clamped within the confines of the workpiece ends and that the rate of rotation is not constant. Applicant proposed amending claim 1 at lines 3 and 4 after "equal" by inserting "and constant" and by inserting "parallel to each other and intersect the elongated material perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the elongate material" after "magnitude" in line 3. Examiner indicated that the "constant" limitation appeared to be unsupported by the disclosure and that the feature where the torque couples intersect the material was unconsidered subject matter, possibly requiring a re-review of the prior art. No agreement reached at this time..